

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT L. WOODARD,

Petitioner,

v.

JOHN E. WETZEL, et al.,

Respondents.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-8543

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 29th day of February, 2016, after considering the motion to vacate order denying habeas corpus relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(6) (the “Motion”) filed by the *pro se* movant, Robert L. Woodard (Doc. No. 34); and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion, which is a “second or successive” petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction;
2. The movant’s request for the appointment of counsel is **DENIED**; and
3. There is no cause for issuance of a certificate of appealability.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith  
EDWARD G. SMITH, J.